

www.tissyou.com info@tissyou.com DENTISTRY

AESTHETIC MEDICINE

PMCF Report, 26th May 2022

#DS6LM



Synthetic bone substitutes

Based on bio-mimetic nano-structured hydroxyapatite; available in dense granules, porous chips, injectable paste, and moldable crunch in a wide range of sizes. Produced by Tiss'You.



Luigi Moscufo, Matteo Bor, Emanuele Quaglia, Alberto Colombi

Dental School - Turin, Italy



Absorbable collagen membrane Made from highly pure type I atelocollagen of equine origin, produced by Tiss'You.



31 patients 26-66 years



Up to 6 months of follow-up



Bone defects Surgical cleaning, bone filling, and membrane application



Probing depths and X-rays outcomes

Post-extraction sockets

Guided Bone Regeneration

Background

Regenerative therapy in dentistry involves the replacement and/or regeneration of oral tissues altered as a result of disease or injury. Furthermore, traumatic extraction has also been associated with additional loss of bone. In the healing phase after extraction, alveolar bone undergoes additional atrophy as a result of the natural remodelling process. This begins immediately after extraction and may result in up to 50 % resorption of the alveolar ridge with impact on dental implant placement. Post-extractive socket preservation procedures aim to prevent alveolar ridge atrophy and maintain adequate dimensions of bone in order to facilitate implant placement. Here we report the clinical results of a guided bone regeneneration strategy tackled with a synthetic biomimetic nanostructured hydroxyapatite, and an absorbable equine-derived collagen membrane.

Methods

In this prospective study, we aimed to comprehensively address the treatment outcomes for post-extractive sockets in 31 patients. The chosen intervention encompassed a multi-faceted approach involving meticulous surgical cleaning, augmentation with synthetic bone substitutes (Tiss'You), and the utilization of an absorbable collagen membrane (Tiss'You). The membrane was securely affixed using a criss-cross suture technique applied to the mucosal flaps. A photographic section showing the treatment protocol is available in the next page.

Primary objective was to assess bone remodeling by measuring probing depths at various distances (central, medial, distal, lingual/palatine, and vestibular) at baseline, 3 months, and 6 months. X-ray images were also obtained at these time points to further understand changes in bone density and structure.





A. Defect before tooth extraction.

B. Post-extraction site and surgical cleaning.



* either dense granules, porous chips, injectable paste, or mouldable crunch



t the membrane is held with a crisscross suture of the mucosal flaps

C. Bone filling with synthetic nanostructured hydroxyapatite*.

D. Collagen membrane application[†].

Results

The study revealed no adverse effects, ensuring the safety of the treatment. Post-operative pain averaged 3.2 ± 1.1 at 15 days, demonstrating manageable discomfort. Encouragingly, no patients reported pain at the 3 and 6-month follow-ups. Additionally, early-stage soft tissue

healing was observed and confirmed at 3 months, while X-Rays indicated successful bone regeneration at 3 and 6 months. Probing depths consistently affirmed optimal bone regeneration throughout the study duration.



(D), lingual/palatin (L/P), and vestibular (V) sites.



Graphic representation of **(left)** treated teeth prevalence (darker stands for higher number of sites) and **(right)** mean distance change in probing sites between 3 and 6 months.

This report is intended exclusively for medical professionals. The information is provided for reference purposes and should not be considered medical advice. We assume no liability for any consequences arising from its use. The contents are copyrighted by Tiss'You Srl. Any reproduction requires written permission.